

September 15, 2008

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington D.C., 20554

RE: WT DOCKET NO. 08-165

PUBLIC COMMENT ON PETITION BY CTIA-THE WIRELESS
ASSOCIATION FOR DECLARATORY RULING TO CLARIFY PROVISIONS
OF SECTION 332 (C)(7)(B) TO ENSURE TIMELY SITING REVIEW
AND TO PREEMPT UNDER SECTION 253 STATE AND LOCAL
ORDINANCES THAT CLASSIFY ALL WIRELESS SITING PROPOSALS
AS REQUIRING VARIANCE

September 15, 2008: Comment Due Date
September 30, 2008: Reply Due Date
August 22, 2008: Motion Filed by Montgomery County,

Maryland, et al, to extend the above deadlines.

Dear Ms. Dortch

I am agaisnt the CTIA's petition.

It will hurt any property owner by decreadng the value
of thier property if neighbors are allowed to let
towers, antennaes on thier property.

I know the 1996 Telecom Act (Section 704) prohibits any reference to the health effects of electromagnetic radiation from wireless facilities, BUT there is an extensive body of credible medical evidence pointing to the potential dangers of wireless technology, including proximity to antennas on towers, and exposure to cell phones, as well as WI FI. Rather than delving into these studies here, please refer to:

- The Bioinitiative Report, 2007

<http://www.bioinitiative.org/report/index.htm>
(2000 peer-reviewed, published worldwide studies; See

especially “Section 17: Key Scientific Evidence”)

- Cindy Sage on the Bioinitiative Report

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7tZDor-co0>

- Dr. George Carlo, “Dangers of the Wireless Cell Phone,

Wi Fi, and EMF Age”

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tjfBP10anOo&feature=relat>

ed

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9Gb_KUwM-C4&feature=relat

ed

I know cell phone companies often state that there is no conclusive scientific evidence that cell phones and wireless technology are dangerous, BUT I BELIEVE it is equally valid to say that there is no conclusive scientific evidence that wireless technology is safe.

I urge the FCC, in its deliberations, to make a clear distinction between its mission to “to be an agent of positive change, striving for continuous improvement in FCC’s management and program operations” (FCC website) and the inclination to be an agent of positive change for the industry it has been appointed to regulate, not abet. That is, whatever positive changes the FCC may be engaged in should be on behalf of the American public, and not the members of the CTIA.

Yours truly,

Frances Arrington
PO BOX 1621
FOLLY BEACH, SC 29439